

SURFACE MOTIONS and FLUID DYNAMICS^{*}

JENS HOPPE^{**}

Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics

Kyoto University, Kyoto 606, Japan

ABSTRACT

A certain class of surface motions, including those of a relativistic membrane minimizing the 3-dimensional volume swept out in Minkowski-space, is shown to be equivalent to 3-dimensional steady-state irrotational inviscid isentropic gas-dynamics. The $SU(\infty)$ Nahm equations turn out to correspond to motions where the time t at which the surface moves through the point $\vec{r}$ is a harmonic function of the three space-coordinates. This solution also implies the linearisation of a non-trivial-looking scalar field theory.

* Talk given at the workshop on ‘Membranes and Higher Dimensional Extended Objects’ (March 1994, Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences)

** Heisenberg Fellow

On leave of absence from the Institute for Theoretical Physics, Karlsruhe University.

The dynamics of surfaces is of vital interest to quite a variety of physical problems (see e.g. [1]). Models that have attracted particular attention include the evolution by mean curvature ([2], [3], [4], [5]), the kinetic roughening of growing surfaces and the motion of domain walls [14], developable surfaces and surfaces maintaining constant negative curvature (see e.g. [6], [7]), and in [8], several families of integrable surfaces have been determined, for which the spectral parameter appearing in the zero-curvature condition may be interpreted as a (time-) deformation parameter.

Let me consider a time-dependent 2-dimensional surface Σ_t in $\mathbf{R}^3$, whose motion is locally of the form

$$\dot{\vec{r}} = a \cdot \vec{n} \quad , \quad (1)$$

where $\vec{r} = \vec{r}(t, \varphi^1, \varphi^2)$ is the position vector, $\vec{n}$ the surface normal, and $a = a(g)$ is assumed to be only a function of the surface area element $\sqrt{g} = |\partial_1 \vec{r} \times \partial_2 \vec{r}|$ (∂_1, ∂_2 and $\cdot$ denoting differentiation with respect to φ^1, φ^2 and t , respectively). Two cases of special interest are $a = \sqrt{g}$ and $a = \sqrt{1-g}$, the former corresponding to a reduction of the self-dual Yang-Mills equations in $\mathbf{R}^4$ with the gauge group $\text{SDiff } \Sigma_t$ (see e.g. [9], [10]), while the latter corresponds to the surface sweeping out a minimal 3-dimensional hypersurface in Minkowski-space (this was derived in [11]). In order to show the equivalence of (1) with 3-dimensional steady-state isentropic irrotational gas-dynamics (and explicitly solve the case $a = \sqrt{g}$) let me write (1) in a slightly different way, namely

$$\dot{x} = \gamma\{y, z\} \quad , \quad \dot{y} = \gamma\{z, x\} \quad , \quad \dot{z} = \gamma\{x, y\} \quad , \quad (2)$$

where $\gamma = \frac{a(g)}{\sqrt{g}}$ and $\{ \dots \}$ denotes the Poisson-bracket $\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^1}$. Choosing the unknown functions x, y and z as the independent(!) variables,

$$t, \varphi^1, \varphi^2 \rightarrow x^1 = x(t, \varphi^1, \varphi^2) \quad , \quad x^2 = y(t, \varphi^1, \varphi^2) \quad , \quad x^3 = z(t, \varphi^1, \varphi^2) \quad (3)$$

(possible as long as $\dot{\vec{r}} \neq 0$), and

$$B_1 = \gamma\{y, z\} \quad , \quad B_2 = \gamma\{z, x\} \quad , \quad B_3 = \gamma\{x, y\} \quad , \quad (4)$$

expressed in the new coordinates, as the new dependent variables, such that

$$\partial_t \triangleq \vec{B} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \quad , \quad \gamma \cdot \{ \cdot, \vec{r} \} \triangleq \vec{B} \times \vec{\nabla} \quad , \quad (5)$$

one finds

$$\vec{B} \cdot \vec{\nabla} B_i - B_i \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} + \vec{B} \cdot \partial_i \vec{B} = B_i \vec{B} \cdot \frac{\vec{\nabla} \gamma}{\gamma} , \quad (6)$$

by taking the time-derivative of (4), using (2) and (5).

These equations for $\vec{B}(\vec{x})$ can be reduced to a single scalar field equation by simply noting that $\vec{\nabla} t$ (calculated from (3), using (2)) is actually equal to $\vec{B}/\vec{B}^2$. Alternatively, writing $\vec{B} = \vec{C}/(\vec{C}^2)$ - which is reminiscent of an invariance - transformation of the Lamé system (see e.g. [12]), and was already used in [10] to linearize (in an equivalent, spinorial, notation) the $SU(\infty)$ Nahm equations - leaves (6) unchanged, except for a crucial flip of sign in the pure divergence term,

$$\vec{C} \cdot \vec{\nabla} C_i - C_i \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{C} - \vec{C} \partial_i \vec{C} = C_i \vec{C} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \ln \gamma . \quad (7)$$

Multiplying by C_i (and summing over $i=1, 2, 3$) one finds that $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{C} = -\vec{C} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \ln \gamma$. Hence $\vec{C} \cdot \vec{\nabla} C_i = \vec{C} \partial_i \vec{C}$, which together with

$$\vec{C} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{C}) = 0 \quad (8)$$

(this being a consequence of the Jacobi-identity $\{ \{x, y\}, z\} + \text{cycl.} = 0$) implies

$$\vec{C} = \vec{\nabla} f . \quad (9)$$

The only equation to be solved is therefore

$$\vec{\nabla}(\tilde{\gamma} \vec{\nabla} f) = 0 , \quad (10)$$

with $\tilde{\gamma}$ being a definite function of $(\vec{\nabla} f)^2$ (invert $\gamma(g)$ to obtain $g(\gamma)$ and solve $g(\gamma) \cdot \gamma^2 \cdot (\vec{\nabla} f)^2 = 1$ for $\gamma = \tilde{\gamma}((\vec{\nabla} f)^2)$).

For the case $\tilde{\gamma} = 1$, which before has been solved in rather different ways and contexts (e.g., known as the ‘Eden-model’ in the context of growing surfaces, [13]), this means that the time $t = f(\vec{x})$, at which the surface passes the point $\vec{x}$, is a harmonic function of the three space variables x, y , and z . This observation provides a very intuitive

understanding of the solution(s) of the corresponding equation(s) (1). To illustrate this by an example, let

$$t(x, y, z) = z^2 - x^2 . \quad (11)$$

This solution of Laplace's equation corresponds to two sheets of hyperboloids in the $x - z$ plane (infinitely extending perpendicular to this plane) which move towards the y -axis, becoming 'singular' at $t = 0$, and then moving away from the y -axis, in directions perpendicular to the incoming ones. In this case every $\vec{x} \in \mathbf{R}^3$ is passed exactly once. Generally, any $t(\vec{x})$ that is finite for all $\vec{x} \in \mathbf{R}^3$ will be a superposition of harmonic, homogeneous polynomials, of course.

Going back to the general case ($\tilde{\gamma} \neq \text{const.}$), one notes that eq. (10) means that all equations of type (1) can (at least locally) be written as Lagrangian equations of motion for a scalar field $f(\vec{x})$ (which is the time at which the surface passes the point $\vec{x}$), with the Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$ being $\frac{1}{2}$ the integral of γ (expressed as a function of $(\vec{\nabla} f)^2$). So the following interesting correction with fluid dynamics emerges: viewing $\vec{\nabla} f = \vec{V}$ as the velocity, and $\tilde{\gamma} \neq \text{const.}$ as the mass density ρ of an irrotational inviscid 3 dimensional gas, (10) is the continuity equation for time independent ('steady state') flows, while the Euler equation,

$$\vec{\nabla} \dot{f} + \vec{\nabla} f \cdot \vec{\nabla}(\vec{\nabla} f) + \frac{1}{\rho} \vec{\nabla}(P(\rho)) = 0 , \quad (12)$$

(for the steady state case, $\vec{\nabla} \dot{f} = 0$) determines $\rho = \rho((\vec{\nabla} f)^2 = w)$ in exactly the way needed for a consistent interpretation of $\tilde{\gamma}$ as the mass density, provided the pressure $P(\rho)$ is chosen such that

$$\frac{dP(\rho(w))}{dw} = -\frac{1}{2}\rho(w) . \quad (13)$$

For

$$\rho = ((\vec{\nabla} f)^2 - 1)^{-1/2} , \quad (14)$$

which corresponds to $a = \sqrt{1 - g}$ in eq. (1) (i.e. the relativistic minimal hypersurface case), the equations of motion, (10), read

$$\vec{\nabla}^2 f = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\vec{\nabla} f \cdot \vec{\nabla}((\vec{\nabla} f)^2)}{(\vec{\nabla} f)^2 - 1} , \quad (15)$$

corresponding to the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{(\vec{\nabla} f)^2 - 1} \quad . \quad (16)$$

It is interesting to note that (13) yields the Kármán-Tsien-Chaplygin equation of state,

$$P(\rho) = -\frac{1}{\rho} \quad , \quad (17)$$

as long as

$$\rho = ((\vec{\nabla} f)^2 + \epsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad , \quad (18)$$

for all ϵ . This means that the relativistic ($\epsilon = -1$) and the Euclidean ($\epsilon = +1$) minimal hypersurface problem, as well as the minimization of $\int d^3x \mid \vec{\nabla} f \mid$ ($\epsilon = 0$) are all related to Kármán-Tsien irrotational gas-dynamics, - the first corresponding to the supersonic regime ($\vec{V}^2 = (\vec{\nabla} f)^2 > \frac{dP}{d\rho} \equiv (\text{velocity of sound})^2 \equiv c^2$), the second to the subsonic regime, and the third ($\epsilon = 0$) corresponding to the case of the Mach-number $M = \mid \vec{V} \mid / c$ being exactly equal to one.

However, as it was demonstrated in [15] that the relativistic minimal hypersurface-problem also corresponds to a timedependent Kármán-Tsien gas in one space-dimension lower, the rather unique fact emerges that a d-dimensional steady state Kármán-Tsien gas is equivalent to a time-dependent d-1 dimensional one. Of course, this has to do with the hidden relativistic invariance, and is therefore, a posteriori, not surprising (and can easily be checked directly).

Finally, let me also derive (10) by first obtaining a single second-order equation for $z(t, x, y)$ from (1), and then interchanging the role of z and t as dependent, resp. independent, variable: So, consider first the transformation

$$\varphi^0 = t, \quad \varphi^1, \quad \varphi^2 \quad \rightarrow \quad y^0 = t, \quad y^1 = x(t, \varphi^1, \varphi^2), \quad y^2 = y(t, \varphi^1, \varphi^2) \quad (19)$$

for (1), which allows one to derive from (2) a pair of first order differential equations for $J = \{x, y\}$ and z (both viewed as functions of the new variables; $\gamma = \gamma(g)$ with

$$g = J^2 \cdot (1 + (\vec{\nabla} z)^2), \quad \vec{\nabla} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^2} \right)^{tr},$$

$$\dot{z} = \gamma J (1 + (\vec{\nabla} z)^2), \quad \dot{J} = -J^2 \vec{\nabla} (\gamma \vec{\nabla} z) \quad (20)$$

(cp. [11]). One can then verify that the corresponding second order equation for z ,

$$\vec{\nabla}(\tilde{\gamma} \vec{\nabla} z) = \left(\tilde{\gamma} \frac{(1 + (\vec{\nabla} z)^2)}{\dot{z}} \right) \cdot, \quad (21)$$

follows from the Lagrangian

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \dot{z} \mathcal{L} \left(w = \frac{1 + (\vec{\nabla} z)^2}{\dot{z}^2} \right) \quad (22)$$

if $2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial w} = \tilde{\gamma}(w)$ (the latter being defined by $\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma$ ($g = \frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}^2 w}$)). Note that (21) remains nonlinear if $\tilde{\gamma} = \text{const}$, in contrast with (10), while the transformation

$$x_1, x_2, x_3 \rightarrow y_0 = f(\vec{x}), \quad y_1 = x_1, \quad y_2 = x_2 \quad (23)$$

(resp. $y_0 y_1 y_2 \rightarrow x_1 = y_1, x_2 = y_2, x_3 = z(y_0, y_1, y_2)$) provides a one to one correspondence between

$$S = \int d^3 y \dot{z} \cdot \mathcal{L} \left(\frac{(\vec{\nabla} z)^2 + 1}{\dot{z}^2} \right) \quad (24)$$

and

$$S = \int d^3 x \mathcal{L}((\vec{\nabla} f)^2), \quad (25)$$

hence confirming $f(\vec{x})$ as the time at which the surface passes $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$.

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank A. Anderson, A. Bray, J. Chapman, D. Giulini, G. Horowitz, M. Kardar, C. Klimcik, J. Krug, L. Mason, P. McCarthy and B. Palmer, as well as T. Inami and K. Takasaki, for valuable discussions, the Isaac Newton Institute for hospitality, and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft for financial support.

Addendum:

Contemplating the possibility that special choices of γ may correspond to integrable 3-manifolds that are connected to the $SU(\infty)$ Nahm case by generalized Bäcklund-transformations, it might be useful to note various ‘zero-curvature or Lax-type’ representations for the case of constant γ , and axially symmetric surfaces. Firstly, (if $\gamma = 1$) (21) can be written in Lax-form (with spectral parameter, λ),

$$\dot{L} = [L, M] \quad , \quad (26)$$

by defining

$$\begin{aligned} L(\lambda) &= \frac{\dot{z}}{1 + \partial z \bar{\partial} z} ((\partial z + \frac{i}{\lambda}) \bar{\partial} - (\bar{\partial} z + i\lambda) \partial) \\ M(\lambda) &= \frac{\dot{z}}{1 + \partial z \bar{\partial} z} (i\lambda \partial - (\partial z) \bar{\partial}) \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where $\partial := \partial_x - i\partial_y$, $\bar{\partial} = \partial_x + i\partial_y$. One may also just take

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \frac{\dot{z}}{1 + \partial z \bar{\partial} z} \bar{\partial} \\ M &= \frac{-\dot{z}}{1 + \partial z \bar{\partial} z} (\partial z) \bar{\partial} \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Actually, any equation of motion of the form

$$\frac{d}{dt} F(x, y; z, \partial z, \bar{\partial} z, \dot{z}, \dots) = \nabla^2 z \quad (29)$$

is representable in Lax-form by letting

$$L = \frac{1}{F} \bar{\partial} \quad , \quad M = \frac{-1}{F} (\partial z) \bar{\partial} \quad . \quad (30)$$

To obtain conserved quantities for the $SU(\infty)$ -Nahm equations (with compact Σ_t) it is easiest to represent the original equations, (2), in Lax-form on the Poisson-Lie algebra of functions (on Σ_t), rather than vectorfields,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \frac{1}{\lambda} (x + iy) - 2iz + \lambda(x - iy) \\ \mathcal{M} &= iz - \lambda(x - iy) \\ \dot{\mathcal{L}} &= \{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}\} \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

which implies that

$$Q_{lm} := \left(\frac{\partial^m}{\partial \lambda^m} \int_{\Sigma_t} d\varphi^1 d\varphi^2 \mathcal{L}(\lambda)^l \right) |_{\lambda=0} \quad (32)$$

is time-independent; the conserved densities are just the harmonic homogenous polynomials (note that $(\vec{\nabla} \mathcal{L})^2 = 0$). $(10)_{\gamma=1}$ on the other hand, is equivalent to

$$[(L_1 + iL_2) + \lambda(L - iL_3), (L + iL_3) - \lambda(L_1 - iL_2)] = 0 \quad , \quad (33)$$

$$L := \frac{\vec{\nabla} f}{(\vec{\nabla} f)^2} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \quad , \quad \vec{L} := \frac{\vec{\nabla} f}{(\vec{\nabla} f)^2} \times \vec{\nabla} \quad , \quad (34)$$

due to the identity

$$[L, L_i] + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} [L_j, L_k] = \frac{-\vec{\nabla}^2 f}{(\vec{\nabla} f)^2} L_i \quad . \quad (35)$$

This leads to the possibility of representing axially symmetric surface motions by

$$[\gamma^{-1}(L_1 + iL_2), L + iL_3] = 0 \quad , \quad (36)$$

as $[\gamma, L_3] = 0$ if $f = f(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}, z)$.

Reference

- [1] P. Pelce' ; 'Dynamics of Curved Fronts' Academic Press, New York 1988.
- [2] K.A. Brakke ; 'The Motion of a Surface by its Mean Curvature' Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ 1978.
- [3] S. Osher, J.A. Sethian ; J. of Computational Physics **79** (1988) 12.
- [4] L.C. Evans, J. Spruck ; J. of Diff. Geom. **33** (1991) 635. Y.G. Chen, Y. Giga, S. Goto; J. Diff. Geom. **33** (1991) 749.
- [5] M. Struwe ; 'Geometric Evolution Problems', Lecture Notes (to appear in the Park City Geometry Series of the AMS)
- [6] K. Nakayama, M. Wadati ; J. of the Phys.Soc. of Japan Vol. 62 (1993) 1895.
- [7] R.I. McLachlan, H. Segur; 'A Note on the Motion of Surfaces' Boulder preprint PAM #**162** (1993).
- [8] A.I. Bobenko; 'Surfaces in Terms of 2×2 Matrices. Old and New Integrable Cases' SFB288 preprint #**66** (Berlin) 1993.
- [9] E.G. Floratos, G.K. Leontaris; Phys. Lett. B **223** (1989) 153.
- [10] R.S. Ward; Phys. Lett. B **234** (1990) 81.
- [11] M. Bordemann, J. Hoppe; Phys. Lett. B **325** (1994) 359.
- [12] B.G. Konopelchenko, W.K. Schief ; 'Lame' and Zakharov-Manakov Systems : Combescure, Darboux and Baecklund Transformations' 1993.
- [13] M. Kardar; private communication.
- [14] A. Bray, J. Krug; private communication.
- [15] M.Bordemann, J.Hoppe; Phys.Lett. B **317** (1993) 315.